Effectiveness of CPC against Bacteria and other Microbes

CPC (Cetylpyridinium chloride or 1-hexadecylpyridinium chloride) is a guaternary ammonium compound which demonstrates varying degrees of activity against bacteria. Compared to other antimicrobials, CPC is especially effective against Gram-positive bacteria.



The following list summarizes the broad antimicrobial features of CPC, based on lethal concentrations:



Going Farther. Together.

| Gram-positive bacteria (e.g. Staphylococcus): | highly sensitive |
|--|-----------------------|
| Gram-negative bacteria (e.g. Salmonella, E. coli): | moderately sensitive* |
| Mycobacteria (e.g. Mycobacterium tuberculosis): | insensitive |
| Bacterial spores (e.g. Bacillus, Clostridium): | insensitive |
| Fungi (e.g. Candida, Saccharomyces): | sensitive |
| Enveloped viruses (e.g. HIV, Hepatitis B): | sensitive |
| Non-enveloped viruses (e.g. Poliovirus): | insensitive |

* note that several species of *Pseudomonas* are relatively insensitive.

Disclaimer

The information provide herein, is accurate to the best of our knowledge. The recommendations or suggestions contained in this document are made without guarantee or representation as to results or outcomes. The information contained herein does not constitute an undertaking by the Company to undertake any particular action which in the opinion of the Company would be commercially unwise or detrimental.



CPC. The effective antibacterial agent.

Effectiveness of CPC against other microbes

CPC is effective against other microbes, mostly yeast-like and filamentous fungi. CPC is typically effective at a use level of approximately 14 mg L⁻¹. In use, the antifungal effects of quaternary ammonium compounds such as CPC are generally regarded as fungistatic.

It is generally accepted that CPC, as well as other quaternary ammonium compounds, are less effective against viruses without an outer envelope (bacteriophage F116 and human non-enveloped viruses such as the human enteroviruses and rhinoviruses) than to enveloped viruses (Herpes viruses, HIV and hepatitis B virus).

| Micro-organism | Minimum lethal concentration | Source |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|
| Candida sp. (yeast-like fungus) | 17-33 | Sykes |
| Candida albicans (yeast-like fungus) | 8 | Giuliana |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast-like fungus) | 2 | Giuliana |
| Torulopsis glabrata (yeast-like fungus) | 8 | Giuliana |
| Trichophyton sp. (mold-like fungus) | 17-33 | Sykes |
| Bacteriophage F116 (non-enveloped virus) | 500 | Maillard |

All values are expressed to the nearest whole number, in mg L-1.

Why is everyone so happy?

Vertellus Health & Specialty Products LLC 300 North Meridian Street, Suite 1500 Indianapolis IN 46204 www.vertellus.com

© Vertellus Specialties Inc. 2007. The VERTELLUS word and VERTELLUS logo are registered trademarks of Vertellus Specialties Inc.







CPC

Simple. CPC. The antibacterial agent that gives kids, and their parents, something to smile about.

Our condolences to germs everywhere.



Vertellus Health & Specialty Products LLC is a leading global provider of high-value specialty and fine chemicals and services to the pharmaceutical, consumer, agricultural, plastics, polymers and industrial end markets.

Effectiveness of CPC against bacteria

The antibacterial properties of quaternary ammonium compounds have been known for nearly a century. A number of studies have demonstrated that CPC is active against many types of growing bacterial cells.

Gram-positive bacteria

CPC is effective against most of the common Gram-positive bacteria, such as a range of types of Staphylococci and Streptococci, including the pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*. It is also reported to be effective against *Listeria* in foodstuffs. As a general rule, most actively-growing Gram-positive bacterial cells are highly sensitive to CPC and are rapidly killed (bactericidal) at relatively low concentration (at around 15 mg L⁻¹) and may be inhibited (bacteriostatic) at even lower CPC concentrations.

Mycobacteria

CPC is only effective against mycobacteria when used at high concentration. This specialized group of Gram-positive bacteria — which includes the pathogens responsible for tuberculosis and leprosy — has cells with tough, waxy walls which make them more difficult to inactivate. Mycobacteria are relatively insensitive to the effects of most quaternary ammonium compounds, including CPC.

Spore-forming (Gram-positive) bacteria

CPC and similar quaternary ammonium compounds are not effective in killing bacterial spores; however, they can be highly effective in preventing their growth. CPC is *sporistatic* rather than *sporicidal* since it inhibits the outgrowth of the bacterial spore during germination.

Gram-negative bacteria

CPC is somewhat less effective against common Gram-negative bacteria than it is to Gram-positive bacteria. For most Gram-negative bacteria, the amount required is lower, at an average of 25 mg L⁻¹. A notable exception is *Pseudomonas*, a common aquatic environmental Gram-negative bacterium, which are especially insensitive to the effects of CPC, requiring up to 172 mg L⁻¹ to provide a lethal concentration. It is generally recognized that Gram-negative bacteria are moderately sensitive to quaternary ammonium compounds, including CPC.

The effectiveness of CPC against Gram-negative bacteria can be enhanced through the use of a chelating agent, such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). A combination of 0.1% CPC with 0.1% EDTA is more effective against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* than CPC alone.

CPC: Cetylpyridinium chloride

The following table shows typical data for the amount of CPC required either (i) to *kill* the target microbe (the minimum *lethal* (cidal) concentration, also known as the minimum bactericidal concentration, or MBC), or (ii) to *inhibit the growth* of the target microbe (the minimum *inhibitory* concentration, or MIC, required to prevent growth and cause bacteriostasis).

| Micro-organism | Minimum lethal concentration | Minimum inhibitory concentration | Source |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Staphylococcus aureus (Gram-positive bacterium) | 5-12 | | Sykes |
| | | 34 | Bereswill |
| | | 0.3 | Prince |
| Staphylococcus albus (Gram-positive bacterium) | 14 | | Block |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis (Gram-positive bacterium) | | 0.3 | Prince |
| Streptococcus pyogenes (Gram-positive bacterium) | 8-24 | | Sykes |
| | | 34 | Bereswill |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae (Gram-positive bacterium) | 11 | | Block |
| Streptococcus 'viridans' (Gram-positive bacterium) | 24 | | Block |
| Enterococcus spp. [antibiotic-resistant] (Gram-positive bacterium) | | < 1-2.5 | Alqurashi |
| Corynebacterium diptheriae (Gram-positive bacterium) | 16 | | Block |
| Mycobacterium phlei (Gram-positive mycobacterium) | 667 | | Block |
| Mycobacterium spp. (Gram-positive mycobacterium) | | 5-50 | Broadley |
| Bacillus spores (Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium) | > 500 | | Russell |
| Dacinas spores (Gram-positive, spore-torning bacteriani) | | 3 | Block |
| Escherichia coli [E. coli] (Gram-negative bacterium) | 15 | | Sykes |
| | | 6800 | Bereswill |
| Campylobacter spp. (Gram-negative bacterium) | | 68-136 | Bereswill |
| Salmonella typhi (Gram-negative bacterium) | 16-67 | | Sykes |
| Shigella spp. (Gram-negative bacterium) | 17-20 | | Block |
| Proteus vulgaris (Gram-negative bacterium) | 29 | | Sykes |
| Kelbsiella pneumoniae (Gram-negative bacterium) | 20 | | Block |
| Helicobacter pylori (Gram-negative bacterium) | | 3 | Bereswill |
| Aeromonas spp. (Gram-negative bacterium) | 1-50 | | Goñi-Urriza |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Gram-negative bacterium) | 172 | | Block |
| | | > 500 | Tattawasart |
| | 14 | 500 | Tattawasart |
| Pseudomonas stutzeri (Gram-negative bacterium) | | 25-100 | Tattawasart |
| | 4-6 | 25-50 | Tattawasart |
| Pseudomonas putida (Gram-negative bacterium) | 50 | 75 | Edghill |
| Pseudomonas tolaassi (Gram-negative bacterium) | > 100 | > 50 | Wong |

All values are expressed to the nearest whole number, in mg L⁻¹.

It should be noted that the data have been derived from a number of studies by various researchers using different methods and procedures, so the exact values for MBC and/or MIC may not be directly comparable in all instances.